

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,565	07/10/2003	Barry D. Hand	8266-1087	2790
7590 01/13/2004		EXAMINER		
Bose McKinney & Evans LLP			LEE, JONG SUK	
Intellectual Property Group 2700 First Indiana Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
135 North Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, IN 46204			3673	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A your same and a your same an		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/616,565	HAND ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE OF T - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the may be a fit the period for reply specified about 1 NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or extensi	"HIS COMMUNICATION. e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ailing date of this communication. ve is less than thirty (30) days, a reply bove, the maximum statutory period w tended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ·					
2a) This action is FINAL	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,8,14-16,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6,7,9-13,17 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers	,						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a) All b) Some * 1. Certified copie 2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the application fro * See the attached deta 13) Acknowledgment is m since a specific referer 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of 14) Acknowledgment is m	c) None of: es of the priority documents es of the priority documents certified copies of the prior m the International Bureau illed Office action for a list of ade of a claim for domestic nce was included in the firs of the foreign language pro-	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTC2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Information Disclosure Statement	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Serial Number: 10/616,565 Art Unit: 3673 1 **DETAILED ACTION** Specification 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title should be amended to be commensurate with the claimed invention, i.e., Hospital Proning Bed. 8 Claim Objections 2. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: 10 Claim 17, line 1: "anterior body portion" should be --the anterior body portion--. 11 Appropriate correction is required. 12 13 Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 14 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 15 basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: 16 17 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or 18 on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. 19 20 4. Claims 1-3, 8, 14, 15, 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being 21

Art Unit: 3673

anticipated by PCT Application Publication (WO 99/07320).

PCT Application Publication (WO 99/07320) discloses a proning bed comprising of: a fluid supply; a bed support (10) coupled to the fluid supply, a body portion (22, 24, 46) and a support plate (42, 44) removably coupled to the body portion as depicted in Fig. 5, the body portion including a guide (60) configured to operably couple with the support plate in order to guide the support plate in sliding movement into the posterior body portion, a patient support surfaces/mattresses (170) configured to operably couple to and be separated from the bed support (10), the patient support surface/mattresses being in communication with the fluid supply automatically when the patient support is coupled to the bed support; the bed support further including a base (12), the support plate and the patient support surface about a longitudinal axis of the patient support surface, the patient support surface/mattresses being coupled to the support plate (42, 44); a mover (55) and an anterior bed support (112) coupled to the bed support (42, 44), the anterior bed support including a mattress (196) and the mover being configured to move the patient support surface and mattress of the anterior bed support (see Figs. 1-19; from page 8, line 10 to page 13, line 23).

5. Claims 1-3, 8, 14, 15, 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stryker (US 3,302,218).

Stryker discloses a turning bed comprising of: a posterior bed support (12) including a

Art Unit: 3673

base (11), a posterior body portion (71) coupled to the base, and a support plate (116) configured to be removably coupled to the posterior body portion (71, 84), the body portion including a guide (73, 75) configured to operably couple with the support plate in order to guide the support plate in sliding movement into the posterior body portion, a patient support surface (108) configured to be releasably coupled to the support plate, an anterior bed support (18) being coupled to the posterior bed support and an anterior body portion (83), an anterior support plate (137) configured to be removably coupled to the anterior and supported for sliding movement into the anterior body portion, and a proning support surface (131) coupled to the anterior support plate (137), a mover (95) to rotate the patient support surface about a longitudinal axis (see Figs. 1-8; col.4, lines 64-75; col.5, lines 1-75; col.6, lines 1-47; col.7, lines 11-75; col.8, lines 1-45).

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to

Art Unit: 3673

overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 15, 16 and 26-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,609,260.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claimed invention is somewhat broader recitation of the '260 patent, for example, in claims 1, 4 and 5 of present claimed invention and claims 1, 5, 6 and 10 of '260 Patent, the Applicants claim:

I) "a posterior bed support including a base, a posterior body portion coupled to the base, and a posterior support plate configured to be removably coupled to the body portion and supported for sliding movement into the posterior body portion, and an anterior bed support coupled to the posterior bed support, the anterior bed support including an anterior body portion and supported plate configured to be removably coupled to the anterior body portion and supported for sliding movement into the anterior body portion and a proning support surface coupled to the anterior support plate" (as recited in claim 1);

Art Unit: 3673

ii) "the posterior body portion including a plurality of fluid passageways, the posterior body and the posterior support plate includes a plurality of fluid passageways configured to communicate with the fluid passageways of the posterior body portion when the posterior support plate is coupled to the posterior body portion" (as recited in claim 4)

iii) "a fluid supply coupled to the plurality of fluid passageways of the posterior body portion" (as recited in claim 5);

whereas in '260 Patent, the Applicants claim "a fluid supply, a (posterior) bed support coupled to the fluid supply, and a patient (proning) support surface configured to couple to.....the bed support...." (in claim 1); "...an anterior bed support coupled to the bed support....." (in claim 5); "the anterior bed support includes a passageway of the anterior bed support being in communication with the fluid supply...." (in claim 6); and "the bed support includes a passageway having an inlet and an outlet, the fluid supply is coupled to the inlet of the passageway," (in claim 10);..etc.

I

Therefore, in respect to above discussions, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of claims 1, 5, 6 and 10 of '260 patent as a general teachings for the proning hospital bed as claimed by the present application. The instant claims obviously encompass the claimed invention of '260 Patent and differ only in terminology. To the extent that the instant claims are broaden and therefore generic

Art Unit: 3673

to the claimed invention of '260 Patent [species], In re Goodman 29 USPQ 2d 2010 CAFC 1993, states that a generic claim cannot be issued without a terminal disclaimer, if a species claim has

been previously been claimed in a co-pending application.

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (b) and © may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10

11

12

13

14

9

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6, 7, 9-13, 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

15

16

17

18

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references cited disclose a proning bed, a hospital bed and an operation table.

19

Art Unit: 3673 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 10. should be directed to Jong-Suk (James) Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6777. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM Monday thru 3 Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford, can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. 8 9 J. Lee /jil 10 January 8, 2003 11 Jong-Suk (James) Lee 12 **Primary Examiner** 13 Art Unit 3673 14

Serial Number: 10/616,565

15